

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

A DRI ICA TIONINO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.		Tatsuya Shimoda	109975	3054
09/892,872	06/28/2001	raisuya Shimoda	.03373	
25944 75	1590 04/16/2002			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			BAUMEISTER, BRADLEY W	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/892,872

Applicant(s)

Shimoda et al.

Examiner

B. William Baumeister

Art Unit 2815



	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address		
n						
A SHO	or Reply DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET INVIOLENCE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	ation.				
be - If NO	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p	period will apply	and will e	xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
- Anv r	mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mailing date of	this comn	nunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 12, 2	2002				
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for form arte Quayle, 19	nal matt 335 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims					
				is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)					
5)□	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-25</u>		are sub	ject to restriction and/or election requirement		
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a)	approved 🗓 disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			5 440(-) (4)		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have					
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Huie	17.2(a)	•		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attacha	nontie)					
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
. —	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/892,872

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The 1. traversal is on the ground(s) that a search of both the product and method claims would not constitute an undue burden. A review of the pending claims shows that Applicant is correct. Accordingly, the previous restriction requirement is withdrawn and replaced with the following restriction requirement.
- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 2.
 - Claims 1, 4-6, 12, 15, 16 and 19, drawn to a memory device having a passive I. matrix array formed on a microstructure and a peripheral circuit formed on a substrate to which the microstructure is integrated, classified in class 257, subclass 295.
 - Claims 2, 13, 20, 21 and 24, drawn to a memory device having a peripheral circuit formed on a microstructure and a passive matrix array formed on a substrate to which the microstructure is integrated, classified in class 257, subclass 295.
 - Claims 3, 8, 14, 22, 23 and 25, drawn to a memory device having a passive matrix III. array formed on a first microstructure and a peripheral circuit formed on a second microstructure, both microstructures being integrated onto a substrate, classified in class 257, subclass 295.

Till repair 15 II.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,872

Art Unit: 2815

IV. Claims 9, 10 and 18, drawn to a memory device having a passive matrix array and a peripheral circuit integrated onto a single microstructure, classified in class 257, subclass 295.

Claims 7 and 17, drawn to a memory device having passive matrix arrays formed on pairs of first microstructures, a peripheral circuit formed on a second microstructure, both microstructures being integrated onto a substrate, and wherein at least one of the first microstructures is formed on each side of the substrate, classified in class 257, subclass 723.

VI. Claim 11, drawn to a memory device having passive matrix arrays formed on a plurality of microstructures that are integrated onto a substrate in layers, and a peripheral circuit, classified in class 257, subclass 723.

- 3. Inventions I-IV are related as patentably distinct species. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that the species have mutually exclusive characteristics. These species have the mutually exclusive characteristics set forth above.
- 4. Inventions V-VI are related as patentably distinct species. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that the species have mutually exclusive characteristics. These species have the mutually exclusive characteristics set forth above.
- 5. Inventions V and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the

Application/Control Number: 09/892,872

Art Unit: 2815

particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, such as the microstructures being formed in a recess. The subcombination has separate utility such as a memory device which does not include further microstructures on the rear surface of the substrate.

- 6. Inventions VI and I & III are related as combination and subcombinations. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, such as the microstructures being formed in a recess. Each of the subcombinations has separate utility such as a memory device which does not include further first microstructures layered onto of the lower-most first microstructure.
- 7. As was explained above, this application also contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,872 Page 5

Art Unit: 2815

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, the search and consideration required for any one invention is not required for the other inventions, and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,872 Page 6

Art Unit: 2815

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to the examiner, B. William Baumeister, at (703) 306-9165. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the Examiner is not

available, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Eddie Lee, can be reached at (703) 308-1690. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

B. William Baumeister

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2815

Wm. Bannal

April 13, 2002